

Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11) **EP 0 502 895 B1**

(12)

## EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(45) Date of publication and mention  
of the grant of the patent:  
**24.01.1996 Bulletin 1996/04**

(51) Int Cl.<sup>6</sup>: **C11D 17/00, C11D 3/22,  
C11D 3/37, A61K 7/16**

(21) Application number: **90917370.0**

(86) International application number:  
**PCT/GB90/01837**

(22) Date of filing: **27.11.1990**

(87) International publication number:  
**WO 91/08283 (13.06.1991 Gazette 1991/13)**

(54) **THICKENED COMPOSITION**

**VERDICKERZUSAMMENSETZUNG**

**COMPOSITION EPAISSIE**

(84) Designated Contracting States:  
**CH DE ES FR GB IT LI NL SE**

(30) Priority: **28.11.1989 GB 8926904**

(43) Date of publication of application:  
**16.09.1992 Bulletin 1992/38**

(73) Proprietors:

- **UNILEVER PLC**  
**London EC4P 4BQ (GB)**  
Designated Contracting States:  
**GB**
- **UNILEVER N.V.**  
**NL-3013 AL Rotterdam (NL)**  
Designated Contracting States:  
**CH DE ES FR IT LI NL SE**

(72) Inventors:

- **RENNIE, George, Kerr**  
**Wirral, Merseyside L63 9JF (GB)**
- **RANDLE, Neil, Patrick**  
**D-6830 Schwetzingen (DE)**

(74) Representative: **Elliott, Peter William et al**  
**Bedford MK44 1LQ (GB)**

(56) References cited:

- |                        |                        |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>EP-A- 0 017 149</b> | <b>WO-A-86/03937</b>   |
| <b>WO-A-90/12082</b>   | <b>CH-A- 620 828</b>   |
| <b>US-A- 3 970 594</b> | <b>US-A- 4 260 528</b> |
| <b>US-A- 4 344 931</b> |                        |
- **CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 106, no. 10,**  
**February 1987 Columbus, Ohio, USA page 114;**  
**column 2; ref. no. 69197 & JP-A-61159495**  
**(JOHNSON) see abstract**

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

**EP 0 502 895 B1**

## Description

This invention relates to aqueous liquid abrasive compositions which include detergent and means for thickening the liquid. Particulate abrasive is suspended in the liquid.

Such compositions currently on the market as household cleaning materials have a liquid phase which includes a combination of detergent and electrolyte chosen so that the detergent is present in lamellar phase and thereby causes thickening of the liquid.

It is commonplace, in very many areas of industry to use thickening agents to impart a certain rheological behaviour to liquid media. By thickening such liquid media, they can be made more suitable for their end-use, or they can be made more suitable as an intermediate medium in which other substances can be included which need to remain stably suspended or dispersed in the media. By varying the levels of thickening agents, the degree of thickening can be controlled.

A vast number of thickening agents is known in the art, and many of them have found practical application. Since frequently, however, the thickening agent does not contribute anything more than a thickening effect, one attempts to use as little as possible of the thickening agent, since these thickening agents may be rather expensive. In addition, frequently such thickening agents may be adversely affected by other substances present in the liquid media, e.g. electrolyte salts, which imposes restrictions on their use for particular purposes.

It is well known in the field of organic, polymeric thickening agents that, in general, the viscosity of a liquid is dependent on the concentration of thickening agent in that liquid. This relationship can be expressed schematically as a sigmoid curve as shown in Figure 1 which is a plot of log viscosity vs log concentration for the thickening agent in the given liquid. While not wishing to be bound by any theory, we believe that in region A the molecules are essentially independent of one another, the viscosity increase arises from disruption of flow of the continuous phase, and the rate of increase is relatively small. In region B the molecules are sufficiently close together to interact, entangle etc., and the viscosity rises very steeply. In region C the units are close packed and increasingly experience compression so that once again the rate of increase in viscosity is relatively small.

Region A is defined as that portion of the sigmoid curve where the viscosity of the total system corresponds approximately to that of the base system and there is a linear relationship between log viscosity and log concentration.

Region B (lower) is defined as that portion of the sigmoid curve which obeys a power law relationship (the coefficient of which is greater than 1) beneath the point of inflexion.

Region B (upper) is defined as that portion of the sigmoid curve which obeys a power law relationship (the coefficient of which is greater than 1) above the point of inflexion.

Region C is defined as that portion of the sigmoid curve where the viscosity of the total system is greater than that of the base system and there is an essentially linear relationship between log viscosity and log concentration.

The point of inflexion for the sigmoid curve is defined as that point where the first derivative of the curve experiences a turning point.

For a given liquid system the position of the sigmoid curve on the log viscosity vs log concentration graph will depend on, for example, polymer type or temperature. It is therefore convenient to describe a given system in terms of a single master curve which represents the actual measured parameter plus a shift factor which superposes the measured curve on the reference curve.

Reference sigmoid curves can be constructed according to the method described in R Simha and L Utracki, J Polymer Sci, A-2, 5, 853 (1967), L Utracki and R Simha, J Polymer Sci A, 1, 1089 (1963) and R Simha and L Utracki, Rheol. Acta, 12, 455 (1973), for a liquid system comprising a gum-type polymer. A typical sigmoid curve for the system is shown in Figure 2 which is a plot of log viscosity vs log concentration for Jaguar HP60, which is a cellulose derived polymer.

According to the present invention there is provided an aqueous liquid abrasive cleaning composition comprising an aqueous liquid medium containing detergent and a thickening mixture, with particulate abrasive suspended in the thickened liquid medium, the detergent being present in an amount of 0.02 to 20 wt% based on the liquid medium the particulate abrasive being present in an amount of 1 to 70wt% based on the liquid medium, and the thickening mixture being present in an amount of 0.01 to 10wt% based on the liquid medium and comprising a linear non-starch type polymer and a branched starch type polymer, wherein each of the said polymers is selected according to its respective sigmoid curve of log viscosity vs log concentration for the said liquid medium, the concentration of each polymer being selected from its sigmoid curve's lower portion having an increasing or substantially constant gradient, the liquid system having a viscosity of at least 20 mPa-sec at a shear rate of  $10 \text{ sec}^{-1}$  greater than that of the liquid medium in the absence of the said thickening mixture.

In liquid compositions so formulated a synergistic thickening effect can be achieved. We have found that embodiments of the liquid systems according to the present invention show synergistic thickening together with shear-thinning, thus providing good flow properties. It is to be understood however that the liquid system of the present invention also ends to pastes thickened by the present thickening mixture.

Moreover, compositions of this invention show other unexpected advantages such as good physical stability as well

as improved cleaning and reduced abrasion damage, particularly on soft substrates, and can show an improved rinsability when compared with current liquid abrasive cleaners.

Starches are natural polysaccharides consisting of D-glucose units. Starches contain linear molecules in which glucose units are joined by (1) → (4) alpha glucosidic linkages, and also branched molecules in which some glucose units are joined by (1) → (6) alpha glucosidic linkages thus creating chain branching. Linear starch is also known as amylose. Branched molecules are known as amylopectin. The proportion of branched molecules in starch varies somewhat with the origin of the starch.

By "branched starch type polymer" we mean a starch type polymer in which at least 70% of the constituent material is molecules which are branched. Preferably at least 85% of the branched starch type polymer is provided by branched molecules. Cross linking may additionally be present. The molecular weight of the branched starch type polymer is preferably more than 1,000,000 and can be up to 2,000,000. Such materials are available commercially. More than one material can be present contributing to the branched starch type polymer. Starch type polymers are derived from natural plant sources such as maize, tapioca and potatoes.

The linear non-starch type polymer can either be a synthetic polymer or it can be a polymer derived from natural sources. Synthetic polymer or the polymers in a mixture of synthetic linear type polymers, may have an average molecular weight of more than 50,000, preferably more than 500,000, up to a preferred upper limit of about 10,000,000. Examples of suitable substantially linear synthetic polymers include polyvinylalcohol, polyacrylate and polyvinylpyrrolidone.

Non-starch type substantially linear polymers derived from natural sources include primarily gum-type polymers. Gums, or mucilages as they are sometimes called, are basically polysaccharides (different from starch) with varying polymerization degrees. Unlike starch, any one gum can contain a variety of saccharide units e.g. glucose, mannose, fructose, galactose and generally each saccharide unit is linked to its neighbour by a (1) → (4) - beta glucosidic linkage. Gums are very high molecular weight polymers and normally have a molecular weight between 1,000,000 and 2,000,000.

Gums include the polysaccharide hydrocolloids, which are usually prepared from gums, and they may have been chemically modified, e.g. by partial acetylation, to make them more water-soluble and/or stable in the presence of other ingredients in the liquid media. Biopolymers also belonging to this class of polysaccharide hydrocolloids are known thickening agents. Typical examples of commercially available, gum-type thickening agents are xanthan gums and their derivatives which retain the polysaccharide backbone structure but incorporate chemical modification. These include a partially acetylated xanthan gum, "Kelzan" ex Kelco Company of N.J., USA, Maxaflo xanthan gum ex International Bio-Synthetics, Enorflo-XA, xanthan gum ex Shell Chemicals Ltd., and Rhodapol, a xanthan gum ex Rhone-Poulenc SA. A further example is the biopolymer Alphaflo, a succinoglucon ex International Bio-Synthetics. Yet other gum-type thickening agents are those derived from guar gums, such as the Jaguar (R) products ex Istock, Hall and Co Inc. and those derived from cellulose such as carboxymethyl or hydroxyethyl cellulose. Cellulose is made up from glucose units but is distinguished from linear starch in that the glucose units are joined by (1) → (4) beta glucosidic links.

Gum-type thickening agents have, been described in our European Patent Application 0 174 689, published on 19 March 1986, in which representative thickening agents have been described for inclusion in shear-thinning liquid cleaning compositions. This publication is hereby incorporated by way of reference.

Where the linear type polymer is derived from natural sources, such as in the case of xanthan gum, the present invention can thus provide a synergistic polymer thickening system which is derived wholly from natural materials. The thickening components can thus both be derived from naturally renewable sources and be environmentally degradable. We have also found that the present use of a combination of naturally derived polymer thickening agents can leave the liquid system naturally, opaque, which can eliminate the need for the addition of artificial opacifiers.

In the ideal case the sigmoid curves for each polymer will be as shown in figure 1. The lower portion of the curve from which the present polymers must be selected thus corresponds in the ideal case to region A and region B (lower), subject to the limitation that sufficient polymers must be present to effect and increase in the system of at least 20 cPs at a shear rate of 10 sec<sup>-1</sup>. In practice when the present curves are constructed for a variety of polymers not all polymers follow an ideal sigmoid curve. An overall sigmoid shape can be discerned allowing the presently defined lower portion to be identified i.e. that portion having a constant or increasing gradient and extending between a point near the origin along the curve until the gradient begins to decrease. In some instances however region C may in effect be non-existent as the viscosity at such concentrations may be too high to measure readily or alternatively region C may include a second point of inflexion. In the latter instance it is only the lower portion of the curve up until the first point of inflexion from which the polymer should be selected. Another non-ideal variant in the shape of the sigmoid curve may include a region of substantially constant gradient between region B (lower) and region B (upper). Such region would fall within the present definition of the lower portion of the curve from which the present polymers must be selected.

It should be noted moreover that the sigmoid curves to be employed are those measured according to the liquid medium in question, i.e. the log viscosity vs. log concentration plot of each polymer is that of the polymer in the presence of any other ingredients which might be present in the liquid medium.

Preferably the relative weight ratio of the linear non-starch type polymer to the branched starch-type polymer in the thickening mixture ranges from 50:1 to 1:100, preferably from 20:1 to 1:-25 and more preferably from 5:1 to 1:15. The

amount of thickening agent used in total for thickening liquid media ranges between 0.01 and 10 wt%, more preferably from 0.1 to 10% by weight of the liquid medium. Yet more preferably it is from 0.25 to 5% by weight of the liquid medium. It may be from 0.25 to 5% by weight of the final product.

The invention has the added advantage that by altering the variables of a given system it is possible to manipulate otherwise unsuitable polymers into the A region or B (lower) region of their sigmoid curve and obtain the synergistic benefit. A preferred means of altering the systems is by addition of an electrolyte to the liquid medium.

The present liquid systems can include a liquid medium which includes an electrolyte. A preferred level of electrolyte is 0.1 to 10 wt% electrolyte with respect to the liquid medium.

For a particular system the synergistic increment can be calculated according to the equation given below

$$S = \left[ \frac{\eta(P_1 + P_2)}{\eta P_1 + n P_2} - 1 \right] \times 100$$

where S - the synergistic increment

$\eta(P_1 + P_2)$  = the viscosity of a mixture of polymers  $P_1$  and  $P_2$

$\eta P_1$  = the viscosity of polymer  $P_1$

$\eta P_2$  = the viscosity of polymer  $P_2$

Preferably the thickening mixture imparts a synergistic increment S of at least 5%, more preferably a synergistic increment of at least 10%, even more preferably a synergistic increment of at least 50%.

The synergistic increment S is thus a measure of the increase in viscosity in a liquid system containing polymeric thickening agents in accordance with the present invention over and above what might otherwise be expected having regard to the sum of the respective contributions made to the viscosity by each polymer measured separately. In as much as it is known (see for example "Polymeric Stabilisation of Colloidal Systems" by Donald H Napper Academic Press Inc 1983) that mixtures of polymers in a liquid system frequently separate and show incompatibility resulting in the liquid systems separating into layers, it is, we believe surprising that the polymeric thickening system of the present invention not only provides a cumulative effect in terms of increased viscosity, but additionally has an apparent synergistic element in increasing the resultant viscosity yet further. The present invention thus permits not only increased flexibility in choice of thickening polymeric agent, but also, if desired, permits cost savings to be made with regard to the amount of polymeric thickening agent required. Not only can the total amount of polymeric thickening agent be reduced relative to the use of a single polymeric thickening agent, but for example in a two component polymeric thickening system a minor amount of the more expensive polymeric thickening agent may be able to exert a disproportionate effect in the total system.

Compositions of this invention contain 0.02 to 20 wt% of detergent, based on the liquid medium. Thickening with a synergistic mixture of this invention has the advantage of giving a wider choice of detergent than when the detergent is required to form a lamellar phase to enhance viscosity.

The preferred amount of detergent is at least 0.05 wt% more preferably 0.1 to 15 wt% and even more preferably 1 to 10 wt%. The detergent active material can be selected from the group comprising anionic, nonionic, zwitterionic, cationic detergents and mixtures thereof.

Notably the detergent may comprise soap and/or synthetic anionic detergent materials such as alkylbenzenesulphonates, alkanesulphonates, alkylsulphates, alkylethersulphates and mixtures thereof.

A household cleaner may include nonionic detergent, and the amount of this may be 0.1 to 10 wt%.

Compositions of this invention contain suspended particular abrasive materials. We have found that liquid abrasive household cleaners embodying the present invention and containing 30 to 40 wt%, preferably about 35 wt%, abrasive particular material can have the same viscosity as conventionally formulated liquid abrasive household cleaners containing about 50 wt% particulate abrasive material. Use of the present thickening system in liquid abrasive cleaners can thus permit more flexibility in formulation. Many abrasives can be used, but an abrasive which is particularly envisaged for use in household cleaning products is calcite. An abrasive should desirably have a particle size smaller than 150, better smaller than 100 micrometers.

Since calcite is able to react with acid, compositions containing it should be neutral or alkaline. A pH of 6.0 or greater is thus required with this abrasive; pH may well be 7.0, 8.0 or more.

Compositions according to this invention can also contain other ingredients such as builders including polymeric builders, sequestering agents, dyes, preservatives, perfumes, bleaches, bleach activators, solvents, enzymes, foam controlling agents and hydrotropes.

As well as household cleaning products, compositions within the scope of this invention include toothpastes.

In this respect, it has surprisingly been found that the inclusion of the synergistic mixture in toothpastes can provide

toothpastes which have a higher gloss, a cleaner ribbon break and a smoother texture than toothpastes which have been thickened with the aid of current thickeners, e.g. sodium carboxymethyl cellulose. The present inventions thus beneficially extends to a liquid system in the form of toothpaste containing 0.25 to 5 wt% of thickening mixture.

Preferred compositions according to this invention are formulated to have a viscosity at low shear (e.g. 10 sec<sup>-1</sup> shear rate) which is in a range from 100 mPa.s to 10 Pa.s.

The liquid systems employed in compositions of this invention can most readily be prepared by mixing together the constituent ingredients. The polymeric thickening agents can, but need not be, admixed together prior to addition to the liquid medium. In some instances, particularly those employing polymeric thickening agents all of which are derived from natural sources the mixture of thickening agents and liquid medium may require heating to yield a thickened liquid system. If heating is required the mixture is suitably held at between 85 and 95°C for 1 to 15 minutes, or until no further thickening occurs.

The synergistic mixture can be added to the liquid media to be thickened in several ways, but care should be taken to avoid excessive aeration. We have found that a preferred way of incorporating the synergistic mixture into a liquid abrasive cleaning composition comprises the steps of adding the particular abrasive material to a dispersion of the thickening agents at alkaline pH, and thereafter adding the remaining ingredients of the composition.

The invention will further be illustrated by way of Example.

#### EXAMPLE 1

The viscosities at 10 sec<sup>-1</sup> of a linear gum-type polymer Maxaflo (ex International Bio-Synthetics) and of a branched starch-type polymer Amioca (ex National Starch and Chemicals Corporation) were each measured separately over a range of concentrations in respective aqueous solutions and as a mixture of the two polymers in aqueous solution over the same range of concentrations of one of the polymers whilst keeping the concentration of the other polymer constant.

Amioca is a starch derived from waxy maize and comprises 95% branched amylopectin glucose polymer molecules and 5% linear amylose molecules. Maxaflo is a very high molecular weight (>1,000,000) xanthan polysaccharide produced by a microorganism.

The results of measuring the viscosity of each polymer in separate aqueous solutions are given in Table I.

Table I

Amioca (wt%)	Maxaflo (wt%)	Viscosity
(pH 7)	(pH 7)	(mPa.s) (10s <sup>-1</sup> )
0.8	-	5.6
1.0	-	6.6
1.2	-	20
1.4	-	56
1.6	-	95
1.8	-	130
2.0	-	200
-	0.1	63
-	0.3	250
-	0.5	470

Figure 3 is a plot of log viscosity v. log concentration for Amioca. The plot for Maxaflo is not shown but at 0.1 wt% the Maxaflo concentration lies in the required lower portion of the sigmoid curve.

In Table II below are the viscosity results at 10s<sup>-1</sup> of a series of solutions containing a mixture of 0.1 wt% Maxaflo in combination with a range of concentrations of Amioca.

Table II

Amioca	Maxaflo	Viscosity	Synergy
(wt%)	(wt%)	(mPa.s)	(%)
0.8	0.1	130	88

Continuation of the Table on the next page

# EP 0 502 895 B1

Table II (continued)

Amioca	Maxaflo	Viscosity	Synergy
(wt%)	(wt%)	(mPa.s)	(%)
1.0	0.1	145	108
1.2	0.1	165	99
1.4	0.1	190	60
1.6	0.1	240	52
1.8	0.1	240	24
2.0	0.1	280	6.5

Figure 4 illustrates in graphic form the synergy of the present thickening system and is a plot of synergy (S) as above defined against Amioca concentration.

## EXAMPLE 2

Two formulations were prepared suitable for use as a liquid abrasive household cleaner employing as a thickening mixture a combination of Amioca and Maxaflo. The formulations are given in Table III below. Percentages are based on the whole composition.

Table III

	A	B
	(wt%)	(wt%)
Amioca	3.2	2.8
Maxaflo	0.2	0.2
Nonionic detergent (Dobanol 91-5T)	1.5	0.0
Potassium coconut soap	0.0	2.0
Abrasive (Calcite MM5F)	35.0	35.0
Perfume	0.3	0.3
Formalin	0.1	0.1
pH	8.15	10.56

The viscosity stability of the two formulations was monitored over six months. The results are given in Table IV below.

Table IV

Months	Viscosity (mPa-sec) (21 sec <sup>-1</sup> )	
	A	B
0	1800	1150
3	1735	1095
6	1690	1060

As can be seen, the stability was excellent.

Dobanol 91.5T is C<sub>9</sub> to C<sub>11</sub> alcohol ethoxylated with average 5 ethylene oxide units and distilled to remove unreacted alcohol.

Particle size distribution of the calcite was

15%	< 10 micrometers
61%	10 to 53 micrometers

Continuation of the Table on the next page

(continued)

20%	53 to 90 micrometers
4%	> 90 micrometers.

**EXAMPLE 3**

Compositions were prepared containing various thickening materials in an aqueous liquid which also contained in every instance:

Nonionic detergent (C <sub>12</sub> and C <sub>13</sub> alcohol ethoxylated with average 6.5 EO)	1.5%
Perfume	0.3%
Formalin	0.1%
Calcite MM5F	35%

Again percentages were based on the whole composition.

The thickening polymers employed were Amioca starch, Maxaflo xanthan gum and Ultratex 4 starch (ex National Starch and Chemicals Corporation). This is a cold water swelling starch made from waxy maize starch in which about 95% is branched molecules (amylopectin).

The thickening materials and the viscosities at 10 sec<sup>-1</sup> shear rate were:

Composition No.	Thickener	Viscosity (Pa.sec)	
		at 10 sec <sup>-1</sup>	at 600 sec <sup>-1</sup>
3A	{3% Amioca starch} {0.2% xanthan gum}	2.9	0.320
3B	4.5 % Amioca starch	3.9	0.52
3C	3% Amioca starch	1.6	0.24
3D	0.5% Xanthan gum	2.70	0.14
3E	0.2% Xanthan gum	0.80	0.059
3F	{2% Ultratex 4 starch} {0.2% Xanthan gum}	3.5	0.22
3G	3% Ultratex 4 starch	4.5	0.440
3F	2% Ultratex 4 starch	0.75	

A disadvantage of composition 3D was that it had a stringy, unpleasant appearance when poured, making it unacceptable to consumers.

A comparative composition thickened with lamellar phase detergent was also prepared. This contained

Alkyl benzene sulphate	6%
Nonionic surfactant (as before)	3%
Potassium coconut soap	1%
Sodium carbonate	4%
Calcite MM5F	35%

It had viscosities of 1.3 Pa-sec at 10 sec<sup>-1</sup> shear rate and 0.17 Pa-sec at 600 sec<sup>-1</sup> shear rate.

Some of the above compositions were tested for various properties, using the lamellar detergent composition as control.

**Cleaning Test**

A sheet of polymethylmethacrylate was soiled with a layer of microcrystalline wax. 1.5 ml of composition was applied to a damp sponge which was rubbed over the surface using an automatic machine, while a 300 gram weight pressed the sponge against the surface.

## EP 0 502 895 B1

The number of rubs to remove the wax was recorded. The experiment was carried out twice. Results are quoted in Table V below.

### Scratch Damage

5

1.5 ml of composition is applied to a damp sponge and rubbed over a sheet of clean, new polymethylmethacrylate. The machine used for the cleaning test is used to carry out 50 rubs, with a 2 kg weight pushing the sponge onto the sheet. This was a pressure 120gm/cm<sup>2</sup>.

10

The reflectance of the sheet is measured before the test and then after the test with a light path at 90° to the rubbing direction. The results are quoted in Table V below as reflectance after test divided by reflectance before test.

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55



Table V

Composition No.	Thickener	Cleaning Test		Scratch Test
		Run 1	Run 2	
Control	Lamellar phase	52	52	0.84
3A	Amioca & xanthan	29	26	0.87
3B	4.5% Amioca	40	39	0.89
3D	0.5% xanthan	24	30	0.87
3F	Ultratex & xanthan	31	40	0.88
3G	3% Ultratex	40	47	0.90

As can be seen from Table V, the compositions 3A and 3F according to the invention performed better than most

others in the cleaning test. In the scratch damage test they gave a better result than the control with lamellar phase detergent.

Only composition 3D did better in the cleaning test. The unacceptable appearance of this composition has already been mentioned.

# Claims

1. An aqueous liquid abrasive cleaning composition comprising an aqueous liquid medium containing detergent and a thickening mixture, with particulate abrasive suspended in the thickened liquid medium, the detergent being present in an amount of 0.02 to 20 wt% based on the liquid medium the particulate abrasive being present in an amount of 1 to 70wt% based on the liquid medium, and the thickening mixture being present in an amount of 0.01 to 10wt% based on the liquid medium and comprising a linear non-tarch type polymer and a branched starch type polymer, wherein each of the said polymers is selected according to its respective sigmoid curve of log viscosity vs log concentration for the said liquid medium, the concentration of each polymer being selected from its sigmoid curve's lower portion having an increasing or substantially constant gradient, the liquid system having a viscosity of at least 20 mPa-sec at a shear rate of 10 sec<sup>-1</sup> greater than that of the liquid medium in the absence of the said thickening mixture.
2. A composition according to claim 1 wherein the two polymers are present in a weight ratio of linear non-starch type polymer to branched starch-type polymer of between 50:1 and 1:100.
3. A composition according to claim 2 wherein the weight ratio of linear non-starch type polymer to branched starch type polymer is between 20:1 and 1:25.
4. A composition according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the thickening mixture is present at a level between 0.25 and 5 wt% with respect to the liquid system.
5. A composition according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the linear non-starch type polymer is a synthetic polymer.
6. A composition according to any one of claims 1 to 4 wherein the linear non-starch type polymer is selected from one or a mixture of xanthan gums, guar gums, succinoglucan gums, cellulose, and derivatives of any of these which include the polysaccharide polymer chain thereof.
7. A composition according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the liquid medium includes an electrolyte.
8. A composition according to claim 7 wherein the liquid medium contains 0.1 to 10 wt% electrolyte.
9. A composition according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the thickening mixture imparts a synergistic increment S of at least 5% wherein S is defined as

$$S = \left[ \frac{\eta(P_1 + P_2)}{\eta P_1 + n P_2} - 1 \right] \times 100$$

where  $\eta(P_1 + P_2)$  = viscosity of a mixture of polymers  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  as measured for the said liquid medium

$\eta P_1$  = viscosity of polymer  $P_1$  as measured for the said liquid medium

$\eta P_2$  = viscosity of polymer  $P_2$  as measured for the said liquid medium.

10. A composition according to any one of the preceding claims wherein, with respect to the liquid system, the liquid medium includes 0.05 to 15 wt% detergent and the thickening mixture is present at a level of 0.5 to 1.5 wt%.
11. A composition according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the abrasive is calcite and the pH of the liquid medium is at least pH 7.0.

## Patentansprüche

1. Wässrige flüssige Schleifmittel-Reinigungszusammensetzung, umfassend ein wässriges flüssiges Medium, enthaltend Detergens und eine verdickende Mischung, mit teilchenförmigem Schleifmaterial, suspendiert in dem verdickten flüssigen Medium, wobei das Detergens in einer Menge von 0,02 bis 20 Gewichtsprozent, bezogen auf das flüssige Medium, vorhanden ist, das teilchenförmige Schleifmittel in einer Menge von 1 bis 70 Gewichtsprozent, bezogen auf das flüssige Medium, vorhanden ist, und die verdickende Mischung in einer Menge von 0,01 bis 10 Gewichtsprozent, bezogen auf das flüssige Medium, vorhanden ist, und welches ein lineares Polymeres vom Nicht-Stärke-Typ und ein verzweigtes Polymeres vom Stärke-Typ enthält, wobei jedes der erwähnten Polymeren ausgewählt ist gemäß seiner entsprechenden S-Kurve von log Viskosität vs. log Konzentration für das genannte flüssige Medium, die Konzentration von jedem Polymeren ausgewählt ist aus dem unteren Teil seiner S-Kurve mit einem ansteigenden oder im wesentlichen konstanten Gradienten, wobei das flüssige System eine Viskosität von zumindest 20 mPa.s bei einer Scherrate von  $10 \text{ s}^{-1}$  aufweist, größer als diejenige des flüssigen Mediums in Abwesenheit der erwähnten verdickenden Mischung.
2. Zusammensetzung nach Anspruch 1, worin die zwei Polymeren in einem Gewichtsverhältnis von dem linearen Polymeren vom Nicht-Stärke-Typ zu dem verzweigten Polymeren vom Stärke-Typ von zwischen 50 : 1 und 1 : 100 vorhanden sind.
3. Zusammensetzung nach Anspruch 2, worin das Gewichtsverhältnis von linearem Polymeren vom Nicht-Stärke-Typ zu dem verzweigten Polymeren vom Stärke-Typ zwischen 20 : 1 und 1 : 25 liegt.
4. Zusammensetzung nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, worin die verdickende Mischung in einem Gehalt von zwischen 0,25 und 5 Gewichtsprozent bezüglich des flüssigen Systems vorhanden ist.
5. Zusammensetzung nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, worin das lineare Polymere vom Nicht-Stärke-Typ ein synthetisches Polymeres ist.
6. Zusammensetzung nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 4, worin das lineare Polymere vom Nicht-Stärke-Typ ausgewählt ist aus einem der Bestandteile oder als eine Mischung von Xanthangummi, Guargummi, Succinoglucangummi, Cellulose und Derivaten von irgendwelchen dieser Verbindungen, welche die Polysaccharid-Polymerkette derselben enthalten.
7. Zusammensetzung nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, worin das flüssige Medium einen Elektrolyt enthält.
8. Zusammensetzung nach Anspruch 7, worin das flüssige Medium 0,1 bis 10 Gewichtsprozent Elektrolyt enthält.
9. Zusammensetzung nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, worin die verdickende Mischung ein synergistisches Inkrement S von zumindest 5 % verleiht, worin S definiert ist als
 
$$S = \left[ \frac{\eta(P_1 + P_2)}{\eta P_1 + \eta P_2} - 1 \right] \times 100$$
 worin  $\eta(P_1 + P_2)$  = Viskosität einer Mischung der Polymeren  $P_1$  und  $P_2$ , wie gemessen für das genannte flüssige Medium,  
 $\eta P_1$  = Viskosität des Polymeren  $P_1$ , wie gemessen für das genannte flüssige Medium,  
 $\eta P_2$  = Viskosität des Polymeren  $P_2$ , wie gemessen für das genannte flüssige Medium.
10. Zusammensetzung nach irgendeinem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, worin bezüglich des flüssigen Systems das flüssige Medium 0,05 bis 15 Gewichtsprozent Detergens enthält, und die verdickende Mischung in einem Gehalt von 0,5 bis 1,5 Gewichtsprozent vorhanden ist.
11. Zusammensetzung nach irgendeinem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, worin das Schleifmittel Calcit ist, und der pH-Wert des flüssigen Mediums zumindest einen Wert von 7,0 aufweist.

## Revendications

1. Composition de nettoyage abrasive liquide aqueuse comprenant un milieu liquide aqueux contenant un détergent, et un mélange épaississant, avec un abrasif en particules en suspension dans le milieu liquide épaissi, le détergent étant présent dans une quantité allant de 0,02 % à 20 % en poids sur la base du milieu liquide, l'abrasif en particules étant présent dans une quantité allant de 1 % à 70 % en poids sur la base du milieu liquide, et le mélange épaississant étant présent dans une quantité allant de 0,01 % à 10 % en poids sur la base du milieu liquide et comprenant un polymère de type non amylicé linéaire et un polymère de type amylicé ramifié, dans laquelle chacun desdits polymères est sélectionné selon sa courbe sigmoïde respective du log de la viscosité par rapport au log de la concentration pour ledit milieu liquide, la concentration de chaque polymère étant sélectionnée à partir de la partie inférieure de la courbe sigmoïde ayant une pente substantiellement constante ou en augmentation, le système liquide ayant une viscosité d'au moins 20 mPa.s à un taux de cisaillement de 10 sec<sup>-1</sup>, plus élevée que celle du milieu liquide en l'absence dudit mélange épaississant.
2. Composition selon la revendication 1 dans laquelle les deux polymères sont présents dans un rapport des poids du polymère de type non amylicé linéaire, par rapport au polymère de type amylicé ramifié, compris entre 50:1 et 1:100.
3. Composition selon la revendication 2 dans laquelle le rapport des poids du polymère non amylicé linéaire par rapport au polymère de type amylicé ramifié est compris entre 20:1 et 1:25.
4. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes dans laquelle le mélange épaississant est présent à un niveau compris entre 0,25 % et 5 % en poids par rapport au système liquide.
5. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes dans laquelle le polymère de type non amylicé linéaire est un polymère synthétique.
6. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 4 dans laquelle le polymère de type non amylicé linéaire est sélectionné parmi une ou un mélange de gommes de xantham, gommes de guar, gommes succinoglucanes, de cellulose, et des dérivés de l'une quelconque de celles qui comportent la chaîne polymère polysaccharidique de celui-ci.
7. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes dans laquelle le milieu liquide comprend un électrolyte.
8. Composition selon la revendication 7 dans laquelle le milieu liquide contient 0,1 % à 10 % en poids d'électrolyte.
9. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes dans laquelle le mélange épaississant amène un incrément synergistique S d'au moins 5 % dans lequel S est défini par


$$S = \left[ \frac{\eta(P_1 + P_2)}{\eta P_1 + \eta P_2} - 1 \right] \times 100$$

où  $\eta(P_1 + P_2)$  = viscosité d'un mélange des polymères  $P_1$  et  $P_2$  telle que mesurée pour ledit milieu liquide.  
 $\eta P_1$  = viscosité du polymère  $P_1$  telle que mesurée pour ledit milieu liquide.  
 $\eta P_2$  = viscosité du polymère  $P_2$  telle que mesurée pour ledit milieu liquide.


10. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes dans laquelle, par rapport au système liquide, le milieu liquide comprend 0,05 % à 15 % en poids de détergent et le mélange épaississant est présent à un niveau de 0,5 % à 1,5 % en poids.
11. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes dans laquelle l'abrasif est de la calcite et le pH du milieu liquide est pH 7,0 au moins.

*Fig.1*

TYPICAL LOG VISCOSITY VS LOG CONCENTRATION  
PLOT FOR AN AQUEOUS POLYMER SOLUTION

*Fig.2*

TYPICAL SIGMOID CURVE FOR A GUM-TYPE  
POLYMER JAGUAR HP60 AT pH 9-10






Fig.3







Fig.4



AMIOCA-CEMENT-40-100  
 100-100-100  
 100-100-100